

# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

*No Response*

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Partially supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Main roads with high volumes of traffic would be greatly affected by the 30 to 20mph bill in our area. Broughty Ferry side streets and around the shops, parks, schools, beaches, etc. would benefit from the reduction. I drive 20 anyway in this area. However the main road A930 connecting Monifeith and Broughty Ferry would be a nightmare if the speed was reduced here. It would take ages to get to the dual carriage way. Same with A92 through Arbroath. It already takes me 45 min to an hour to get to Montrose Academy.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Unsure

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

Reduction in fatalities from being hit by cars...this is common sense.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

It would take longer to reach destination. However, it may also make youths take more risks when crossing the road.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Signage, emotive warning signs work well...

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities			X			
Motorists		X				
Other						

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

<b>Police Scotland</b>						
----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Cost will depend on who is responsible for signage, the decrease in mph will cause motorists to seek other routes if possible and decrease road wear and tear as a result for Local Authorities. However, motorists will pay more in petrol costs as driving in 3rd vs 4th gear uses more petrol.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

*No Response*

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Slightly positive

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Slower groups like pregnant (full term) women, the elderly, small children, impaired individuals (including those who are intoxicated, walking home from local pubs) will surely benefit from this...

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

*No Response*

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

**Please explain the reasons for your response:**

This bill should be limited to built up areas but not including the main road through the towns that people use to get in and out of town...so heavily used, main roads. Locals should be allowed to indicate what roads these are and not just a bureaucrats looking at a map of an area in which they do not reside.

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

*No Response*