

# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

*No Response*

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I would like this response to be anonymous (the response may be published, but no name)

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

I support the creation of a system that improves and supports the option for active and sustainable travel. That gives Improved Paediatrician, cyclist and other vulnerable roads user safety as well as motorists improved safety. That will create improved manners and traffic flow amongst motor vehicle traffic. The motorist is currently put first which makes a lot of people choose their car before any other transport mode. Resulting in nose to tail traffic, increased pollution ( both noise and emissions), more deaths in motor vehicle accidents and a car culture that feels entitled to push, intimidate, shout, gesture, and bully's other road traffic to put their need to move forward before others lives and safety.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

No

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

See previous comments

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

None

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Full advertising campaign. We signage and police enforcement

## Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

|                     | Significant increase in cost | Some increase in cost | Broadly cost-neutral | Some reduction in cost | Significant reduction in cost | Unsure |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Scottish Government |                              |                       |                      |                        | X                             |        |
| Local Authorities   |                              |                       |                      |                        | X                             |        |
| Motorists           |                              |                       |                      | X                      |                               |        |
| Other               |                              |                       |                      |                        | X                             |        |

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

|                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <b>Police<br/>Scotland</b> |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

More use of public transport and other alternative transports. Reduced poor health through pollution and inactivity. Active travel choices being increased and a more attractive proposition will work like the smoking ban.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

See previous comments

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Positive

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Improved health through less pollution noise and traffic and emission.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

Na

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

*No Response*