

# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?

an individual

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

Member of the public

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

*No Response*

Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.

I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation

Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published.

Liz Campbell

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

## Page 7: Your views on the proposal

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

Fully Supportive

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

4 schools in a confined area. One Senior School Two Junior Schools One school with pupils who suffer disability Residential Street. 20 mph ignored. Street abused by vehicles cutting through. Vehicle range -- all types.

Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?

Yes (if so, please explain below)

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

By clear and regular 20mph signs on lamp posts and road. By restricting types of vehicle using street except for deliveries/specific access.

Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?

20mph can be a life saver for school children, elderly, residents living within the 20mph zones.

Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?

No disadvantages within the 20mph zone if restricted to residential streets.  
Disadvantage comes when main artery roads are restricted and traffic builds up.

Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement.

Clear, large detailed signage on posts at regular close intervals.

Speed limits marked on roads at regular intervals in large print

Restricted parking except for residents.

Cameras around schools.

Police presence on occasion

Enforcement of Speeding offences.

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
Scottish Government		X				
Local Authorities		X				
Motorists			X			
Other		X				
Police Scotland						

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Initial outlay of restrictive signage would require financial outlay by LA's Motorists would have no cost if they adhere to Road Traffic speed restrictions/laws. Police presence would incur some cost if over and above daily duties.

Q7. Do you believe there will be any other benefits to reducing the speed limit from 30mph to 20mph?

The first and foremost benefit would be reducing the risks to children/ elderly/ residents of the areas being badly injured or killed.

Children of the area could play safely in street.

## Page 14: Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on the following protected groups (under the Equality Act 2010): race, disability, sex, gender re-assignment, age, religion and belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity?

Positive

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

Restrictions will reduce risk of death/ injury. Children can walk, cycle safely to school. Elderly/ those with restricted mobility will be safer. Residents of these areas will benefit from reduced speed and restriction of vehicle types accessing, short cutting through residential streets.

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

Q9. Could any negative impact of the proposed Bill on any of these protected groups be minimised or avoided?

Impact should bring only positive results increasing health and safety.

## Page 16: Sustainability of the proposal

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impact?

Yes

**Please explain the reasons for your response:**

Protecting and allowing children the freedom to go to and from school safely, to be able to play outwith the confines of the garden gate weighed up against any cost financial or otherwise is worth it. This also applies to elderly/disabled groups. Residents of the area are also entitled to consideration of road users. Environmentally less road traffic, less fumes. Reduction in noise pollution.

## Page 17: General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal to establish a 20mph default speed limit on restricted roads?

Little use imposing restrictions without enforcing them.