# Proposed Restricted Roads (20mph Limit) (Scotland) Bill

## Page 2: About you

| Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation?  |
|---|
| an individual   |
|   |
| Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)  |
| Member of the public  |
|   |
| Please select the category which best describes your organisation   |
| No Response   |
|   |
| Please choose one of the following; if you choose the first option, please provide your name or the name of your organisation as you wish it to be published.   |
| I am content for this response to be attributed to me or my organisation  |
|   |
| Please insert your name or the name of your organisation. If you choose the first option above, this should be the name as you wish it to be published. If you choose the second or third option, a name is still required, but it will not be published. |
| Chris Moore   |
|   |
| Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.                                |
|   |
|   |
|   |

# Page 7: Your views on the proposal

| Q1.۱ | Which   | of the | followir | ig best | expres   | ses y | our/  | view | of the | proposal | to | replace | the o | current | 30mph | default |
|------|---------|--------|----------|---------|----------|-------|-------|------|--------|----------|----|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| spee | d limit | on res | stricted | roads   | with a 2 | 0mp   | h lim | it.  |        |          |    |         |       |         |       |         |

Fully opposed

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposal to replace the current 30mph default speed limit on restricted roads with a 20mph limit.

#### Please explain the reasons for your response

Because the current 30 MPH was introduced after extensive tests earlier in the 20th century indicated that the test subjects could survive an impact of 30MPH & modern vehicles are now designed to further mitigate impact damage to pedestrians . The current problem as I see it is the lack of political will to enforce the current 30 MPH speed limit by the police & the lack of observance by a small section of the vehicle owning public both private & commercial drivers. Rather than introduce another law further restricting the motorist much more needs to be done in enforcing the current speed limits by providing the financial resources to the relevant authorities to achieve this.

| Q2. Could the aims of this proposal be better delivered in another way (without a Bill in the Scottish Parliament)?   |
|---|
| No  |
|   |
|   |
| Q3. What do you think would be the main advantages, if any, of the proposal?  |
| None  |
|   |
| Q4. What do you think would be the main disadvantages, if any, of the proposal?   |
| Reduction in traffic flow, an further increase in air pollution & more alienation of the vehicle owning public  |
|   |
| Q5. What other measures do you think would be needed to maximise compliance with the new national 20mph speed limit on restricted roads, for example in relation to advertising signage and police enforcement. |
| No Response   |

### Page 12: Financial implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have?

|                        | Significant increase in cost | Some increase in cost | Broadly<br>cost-<br>neutral | Some reduction in cost | Significant reduction in cost | Unsure |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Scottish<br>Government | X                            |                       |                             |                        |                               |        |
| Local<br>Authorities   |                              |                       |                             |                        |                               |        |
| Motorists              |                              | Х                     |                             |                        |                               |        |
| Other                  |                              |                       |                             |                        |                               |        |

| Dalla.                                   |  |                  | 1                               |                   |                     |    |
|--|--|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----|
| Police<br>Scotland                       |  |                  |                                 |                   |                     |    |
| 27. Do you believe                       | there will be any ot   | her benefits to  | reducing the s                  | peed limit from 3 | 30mph to 20mph?     |    |
| none                                     |  |                  |                                 |                   |                     |    |
| ge 14: Equ                               | alities  |                  |                                 |                   |                     |    |
| Equality Act 2010)                       | npact is the propose race, disability, sex, partnership, pregnan | gender re-ass    | signment, age,                  |                   |                     |    |
| Neutral (neither po                      | sitive nor negative)   |                  |                                 |                   |                     |    |
| Q9. Could any neg<br>avoided?            | ative impact of the p  | roposed Bill or  | n any of these p                | protected groups  | be minimised or     |    |
| See previous ans                         | ver  |                  |                                 |                   |                     |    |
|  |  |                  |                                 |                   |                     |    |
| 40.0                                     |  | 41               |                                 |                   |                     |    |
| age 16: Sus                              | ainability of  | the propo        | osal                            |                   |                     |    |
| Q10. Do you consi                        | cainability of der that the propose conomic, social and/o        | d Bill can be de | elivered sustair                | ably i.e. without | having likely futu  | re |
| Q10. Do you consi<br>disproportionate e  | der that the propose   | d Bill can be de | elivered sustair                | ably i.e. without | having likely futu  | re |
| Q10. Do you consi                        | der that the propose   | d Bill can be de | elivered sustair                | ably i.e. without | having likely futu  | re |
| Q10. Do you consi<br>disproportionate ed | der that the propose<br>conomic, social and/o                    | d Bill can be de | elivered sustair                | ably i.e. without | having likely futur | re |
| Q10. Do you considisproportionate en     | der that the propose conomic, social and/o                       | d Bill can be do | elivered sustair<br>cal impact? |                   |                     |    |